

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

<b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA</b>	:	
	:	
<b>v.</b>	:	<b>Crim. No. 17-232 (EGS)</b>
	:	
<b>MICHAEL T. FLYNN,</b>	:	
	:	<b>Sentencing: December 18, 2018</b>
	:	
<b>Defendant.</b>	:	

**GOVERNMENT’S REPLY TO  
DEFENDANT’S MEMORANDUM IN AID OF SENTENCING**

The United States of America, by and through Special Counsel Robert S. Mueller, III, respectfully submits this reply to defendant Michael T. Flynn’s sentencing memorandum. *See* Defendant’s Memorandum in Aid of Sentencing (“Def. Sent. Mem.”), *United States v. Flynn*, 17-cr-232 (D.D.C. Dec. 11, 2018) (Doc. 50). In his sentencing memorandum, the defendant cites circumstances surrounding his FBI interview on January 24, 2017, as a factor that mitigates the seriousness of his offense. *See id.* at 7-11. The circumstances of the defendant’s interview, which are further described below, are not mitigating. Nothing about the way the interview was arranged or conducted caused the defendant to make false statements to the FBI on January 24.

The defendant chose to make false statements about his communications with the Russian ambassador weeks before the FBI interview, when he lied about that topic to the media, the incoming Vice President, and other members of the Presidential Transition Team. When faced with the FBI’s questions on January 24, during an interview that was voluntary and cordial, the defendant repeated the same false statements. The Court should reject the defendant’s attempt to minimize the seriousness of those false statements to the FBI.

**I. Defendant's False Statements Prior to January 24, 2017**

The defendant made his decision to lie about his communications with the Russian ambassador two weeks before his interview with the FBI. On January 12, 2017, *The Washington Post* published a story asserting that the defendant had spoken with the Russian ambassador on December 29, 2016, the day the United States announced sanctions and other measures against Russia in response to that government's actions intended to interfere with the 2016 election (collectively, "sanctions"). See David Ignatius, *Why did Obama Dawdle on Russia's hacking?*, WASH. POST (Jan. 12, 2017). The *Post* story asked whether the defendant had undercut the sanctions and whether his actions violated the Logan Act. The defendant asked a subordinate member of the Presidential Transition Team to contact the *Post* on the morning of January 13 and convey false information about the defendant's communications with the Russian ambassador. The "UPDATE" included at the end of the *Post* story later reported that two members of the Presidential Transition Team stated that the defendant "didn't cover" sanctions in his conversation with the Russian ambassador. *Id.*

Over the next two weeks, the defendant repeated the same false statements to multiple members of the Presidential Transition Team, including Vice President-Elect Michael Pence, incoming White House Chief of Staff Reince Priebus, and incoming White House Press Secretary Sean Spicer. Those officials then repeated the defendant's false statements on national television. See, e.g., *Face the Nation transcript January 15, 2017: Pence, Manchin, Gingrich*, CBS NEWS (Jan. 15, 2017) (Vice President Pence recounting that defendant told him he did not discuss sanctions with the Russian ambassador); *Meet The Press 01/15/17*, NBC NEWS (Jan. 15, 2017) (Priebus recounting that he had talked to the defendant and "[t]he subject matter of

sanctions or the actions taken by the Obama [sic] did not come up in the conversation [with the Russian ambassador.]”); *White House Briefing by Sean Spicer – Full Transcript, Jan. 23, 2017*, CBS NEWS (Jan. 24, 2017) (Spicer recounting that he had spoken with the defendant the day before, who again stated that he (the defendant) had not spoken to the Russian ambassador about the sanctions). Thus, by the time of the FBI interview, the defendant was committed to his false story.

## **II. The Circumstances of the Defendant’s False Statements on January 24, 2017**

The circumstances of the defendant’s interview also show that his decision to make false statements was voluntary and intentional. The FBI Deputy Director, Andrew McCabe, informed the defendant about the topic of the interview. *See* Memorandum of Andrew McCabe dated January 24, 2017 (“McCabe Memo”) (Attachment A) (McCabe called defendant to arrange the interview and explained that the FBI needed to talk to him in light of the “media coverage and public discussion about his recent contacts with Russian representatives.”). When the defendant asked McCabe if the questions would concern “his contact with the Russian Ambassador to the United States,” McCabe confirmed they would. *Id.* During the interview, the FBI agents gave the defendant multiple opportunities to correct his false statements by revisiting key questions. When the defendant said he did not remember something they knew he said, they used the exact words the defendant had used in order to prompt a truthful response. *See* FD-302 of Peter Strzok dated July 19, 2017 (“Strzok 302”), at 3 (Attachment B).<sup>1</sup> But the defendant never corrected his false statements.

The interview was voluntary, and lacked any indicia of coercion. When arranging the

---

<sup>1</sup> Strzok was interviewed on July 19, 2017, in relation to other matters, *not* as part of the investigation of the defendant or any investigation of Strzok’s conduct.

interview, McCabe asked the defendant if he would be willing to speak with two FBI agents, and the defendant agreed. *Id.* They agreed to conduct the interview at the defendant's office in the White House. *Id.* As the interviewing agents noted, the defendant was "relaxed and jocular" during their interactions with him. *Id.*

### **III. The Circumstances of the Defendant's Interview Do Not Lessen the Seriousness of the Offense**

The defendant submits that multiple aspects of the January 24 interview lessen the seriousness of his offense "relative to the circumstances of witness interviews in typical cases charged under 18 U.S.C. § 1001," including two cases this Office has prosecuted—Alex Van der Zwaan and George Papadopoulos. Def. Sent. Mem. at 7-11. While there are factors that distinguish the defendant from those individuals, including the defendant's cooperation and military service, the circumstance of his interview is not a meaningful difference.

A sitting National Security Advisor, former head of an intelligence agency, retired Lieutenant General, and 33-year veteran of the armed forces knows he should not lie to federal agents. He does not need to be warned it is a crime to lie to federal agents to know the importance of telling them the truth. The defendant undoubtedly was aware, in light of his "many years" working with the FBI, that lying to the FBI carries serious consequences. *See* Def. Sent Mem. at 8. He, unlike Van der Zwaan and Papadapoulous, was a senior national security official with extensive federal government experience, had led an intelligence agency, had worked with the FBI, and was steeped in the importance of accurate information to decision making in areas of national security.

The defendant agreed to meet with the FBI agents, without counsel, and answer their questions. His obligation to provide truthful information came with that agreement; it did not

turn on the presence of counsel. Moreover, as the defendant has admitted, weeks after the January 24 interview, he made materially false statements in filings he provided to another branch of the Department of Justice pursuant to the Foreign Agents Registration Act (“FARA”). *See* Statement of Offense at ¶ 5, *United States v. Flynn*, No. 17-cr-232 (D.D.C. Dec. 1, 2017) (Doc. 4). The defendant made those false statements while represented by counsel and after receiving an explicit warning that providing false information was a federal offense. *See, e.g.*, FARA Registration No. 6406, Flynn Intel Group (March 7, 2017), available at <https://efile.fara.gov/docs/6406-Registration-Statement-20170307-1.pdf>. The defendant was equally responsible for telling the truth to both Department of Justice entities, and under both circumstances he chose to make false statements.

Finally, the interviewing agents did not observe indicia of deception and had the impression at that time that the defendant was not lying or did not think he was lying. *See* Strzok 302 at 4. Members of the Presidential Transition Team were likewise misled by the defendant’s false denials. Those misimpressions do not change the fact—as the defendant has admitted in sworn testimony to this District Court—that he was indeed lying, and knowingly made false statements to FBI agents in a national security investigation. Those false statements were material, including by raising the question of why he was lying to the FBI, the Vice President, and others.

#### **IV. Conclusion**

The seriousness of the defendant’s offense cannot be called into question, and the Court should reject his attempt to minimize it. While the circumstances of the interview do not present mitigating considerations, assuming the defendant continues to accept responsibility for his

actions, his cooperation and military service continue to justify a sentence at the low end of the guideline range.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT S. MUELLER, III  
Special Counsel

By:                     /s/                      
Brandon L. Van Grack  
Zainab N. Ahmad  
Senior Assistant Special Counsels  
Special Counsel's Office  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
(202) 616-0800

**Certificate of Service**

I, Brandon L. Van Grack, certify that I caused to be served a copy of the foregoing by electronic means on counsel of record for defendant Michael T. Flynn on December 14, 2018.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Brandon L. Van Grack

# Attachment A



[REDACTED]

January 24, 2017

What follows are notes I typed shortly after my conversation with LTG Michael Flynn. While I have quoted directly in a few places, this represents the substance of our conversation.

On Tuesday, 01/24/2017, at 1235, LTG Michael Flynn called via secure phone from [REDACTED] to my office number [REDACTED]. After talking briefly about the security briefing [REDACTED] provided to White House staff on Sunday, I told LTG Flynn that I had a sensitive matter to discuss. I explained that in light of the significant media coverage and public discussion about his recent contacts with Russian representatives, that Director Comey and I felt that we needed to have two of our agents sit down with the General and hear from him the details of those conversations. LTG Flynn asked if I was referring to his contacts with the Russian Ambassador to the United States, and I indicated that I was.

LTG Flynn then explained that he had been trying to "build relationships" with the Russians, and that he had calls in which he "exchanged condolences." He then stated that I probably knew what was said [REDACTED]. I reiterated that in light of everything that has been said about these contacts, the important thing now was for us to hear directly from him what he said and how he felt about the conversations.

LTG Flynn questioned how so much information had been made public and asked if we thought it had been leaked. I replied that we were quite concerned about what we perceived as significant leaks [REDACTED].

I explained to LTG Flynn that my desire was to have two of my agents interview him as quickly, quietly and discretely as possible. He agreed and offered to meet with the agents today. We had some discussion about timing and ultimately agreed to conduct the interview at his office in the White House at 1430 this afternoon. I explained that I thought the quickest way to get this done was to have a conversation between him and the agents only. I further stated that if LTG Flynn wished to include anyone else in the meeting, like the White House Counsel for instance, that I would need to involve the Department of Justice. He stated that this would not be necessary and agreed to meet with the agents without any additional participants.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

# Attachment B

FD-302 (Rev. 5-8-10)

- 1 of 5 -



OFFICIAL RECORD

[REDACTED]

**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

Date of entry 08/22/2017**DOCUMENT RESTRICTED TO CASE PARTICIPANTS**

This document contains information that is restricted to case participants.

(U//FOUO) FBI Deputy Assistant Director (DAD) Peter P. Strzok was interviewed in his office in the Special Counsel's Office in Washington D. C. Participating in the interview were Senior Assistant Special Counsel [REDACTED] and FBI Supervisory Special Agent [REDACTED]. The purpose of the interview was to collect certain information regarding Strzok's involvement in various aspects of what has become the Special Counsel's investigation. Strzok provided the following information:

[REDACTED] As FBI Counterintelligence DAD, Strzok had involvement in several FBI investigations which were subsequently taken over by the Special Counsel. Specifically, FBI investigations regarding then-National Security Adviser, General Michael Flynn; [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] At various times, Strzok and then-FBI Director James Comey briefed Deputy Attorney General/Acting Attorney General Sally Yates and other DOJ representatives on the entire span of the FBI's Russian election interference/collusion investigations.

(U//FOUO)

[REDACTED] He worked closely with multiple DOJ National Security Division (NSD) attorneys, up to Acting NSD Assistant Attorney General Mary McCord.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Investigation on 07/19/2017 at Washington, District Of Columbia, United States (In Person)

File # [REDACTED] Date dictated 07/20/2017

by [REDACTED]

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.

DOJSCO-700021201

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

\_\_\_\_\_

FD-302a (Rev. 05-08-10)

Continuation of FD-302 of (U//FOUO) DAD Peter P. Strzok interview On 07/19/2017 Page 3 of 5

call. When he told her the FBI was interviewing Flynn she was not happy.

(U//FOUO) Strzok and FBI SSA [REDACTED], his interview partner, got access to the White House with the assistance of an FBI White House detailee. Flynn met them at about 2:15, which was earlier than agreed. Flynn was alone and "relaxed and jocular." He wanted to give them a little tour of the area around his office. During their walk through the West Wing, President Trump and some movers who were discussing where to place some art work walked between Strzok and [REDACTED], but nobody paid attention to the agents. Flynn did not introduce them to anyone.

(U//FOUO) Before the interview, McCabe, [REDACTED] and others decided the agents would not warn Flynn that it was a crime to lie during an FBI interview because they wanted Flynn to be relaxed, and they were concerned that giving the warnings might adversely affect the rapport.

(U//FOUO) Flynn was unguarded and clearly saw the FBI agents as allies. He talked about various subjects, including hotels where they stayed during the campaign and the President's knack for interior design. He talked about the long hours of the job and complained about the politics surrounding it, but Flynn always seemed to work his way to the subject of terrorism. Flynn was so talkative, and had so much time for them, that Strzok wondered if the National Security Adviser did not have more important things to do than have such a relaxed, non-pertinent discussion with them.

[REDACTED] It was decided before the interview the agents [REDACTED], but if Flynn said he did not remember something they knew he said, they would use the exact words Flynn used, such as [REDACTED] to try to refresh his recollection. If Flynn still would not confirm what he said [REDACTED], they would not confront him or talk him through it. [REDACTED]

(U//FOUO) Strzok conducted the interview and [REDACTED] was primarily responsible for taking notes and writing the FD-302.

(U//FOUO) Throughout the interview, Flynn had a very "sure" demeanor and did not give any indicators of deception. He did not parse his words or hesitate in any of his answers. He only hedged once, which they

FD-302a (Rev. 05-08-10)

[REDACTED]

Continuation of FD-302 of (U//FOUO) EAP Peter P. Strzok interview , On 07/19/2017 , Page 4 of 5

documented in the 302. Strzok and [REDACTED] both had the impression at the time that Flynn was not lying or did not think he was lying. Flynn struck Strzok as "bright, but not profoundly sophisticated."

(U//FOUO) The agents left Flynn in a collegial, positive way. There was no discussion of follow-up.

(U//FOUO) Strzok and [REDACTED] returned to FBI Headquarters and briefed McCabe and [REDACTED] on the interview. McCabe briefed Comey. Strzok was aware that [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] later argued about the FBI's decision to interview Flynn.

(U//FOUO) Shortly after the interview, Yates and McCord briefed White House staff on the Flynn calls.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

FD-302a (Rev. 05-08-10)

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Continuation of FD-302 of (U//FOUO) IAD Peter E. Strack interview . On 07/19/2017 , Page 5 of 5

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]